

(p) JS  
4010-5  
(429-53)

REVIEW OF  
DISTRICT ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS

REPORT AND PROPOSALS FOR  
BOROUGH OF NEATH

ADRODDIAD A CHYNIGION AR GYFER  
BWRDEISTREF NEDD

AROLWG O  
DREFNIADAU'R ETHOLIADAU DOSBARTH

REVIEW OF  
DISTRICT ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS

REPORT AND PROPOSALS FOR  
BOROUGH OF NEATH

ADRODDIAD A CHYNIGION AR GYFER  
BWRDEISTREF NEDD

AROLWG O  
DREFNIADAU'R ETHOLIADAU DOSBARTH

Class No. (P) JS 4010-5 (429-53)  
Accession No. 82-475  
Please return or renew by CP 8/6

Comisiwn Ffiniau Llywodraeth  
Leol i Gymru

Local Government Boundary  
Commission for Wales

CADEIRYDD

CHAIRMAN

Lady Crawshay

DIRPRWY GADEIRYDD

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

Mr Idris Davey BSc

AELODAU

MEMBERS

Mr D W C Morgan LLB  
Mr R T D Williams BA LLB

AT:

Y GWIR ANRHYDEDDUS WILLIAM WHITELOW CH MC AS  
YSGRIFENNYDD GWLADOL YR ADRAN GARTREF

AROLWG O DREFNIADAU'R ETHOLIADAU DOSBARTH

ADRODDIAD A CHYNIGION AR GYFER BWRDEISTREF NEDD

1. Yr ydym ni, Comisiwn Ffiniau Llywodraeth Leol i Gymru, wedi cwblhau'r arolwg o drefniadau'r etholiadau dosbarth ar gyfer Bwrdeistref Nedd yn Sir Gorllewin Morgannwg ac yn cyflwyno'n Cynigion Terfynol ar gyfer trefniadau etholiadol newydd o fewn y Fwrdeistref.
2. Mae gan Fwrdeistref Nedd ryw 51,900 o etholwyr ac fe'i rhennir ar hyn o bryd yn 16 ward sy'n ethol 33 cynghorydd. Amlinellir y fframwaith presennol yn Atodiad 3.
3. Mae'n ofynnol, yn ôl Atodiad 10 Deddf Llywodraeth Leol 1972, i ni arolygu trefniadau etholiadol rhyw ddosbarth cyn gynted ag sy'n ymarferol ar ôl i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol gyhoeddi'i benderfyniad terfynol ar yr olaf o'r gorchmynion y bwriada eu gwneud ynglŷn â'r cymdeithasau o fewn y dosbarth hwnnw o ganlyniad i'r Arolwg Cymdeithas Arbennig. Mae adran 60 y Ddeddf yn gosod canllawiau'r drefniadaeth y mae'n rhaid eu dilyn wrth gynnal yr arolwg ac yr ydym wedi mabwysiadu'r drefniadaeth hon a eglurir yn fanwl yn ein Hadroddiad Rhif 3, dyddiedig 2 Chwefror 1977.
4. Mae'n rhaid i ni ystyried ein trefniadau etholiadol ar gyfer unrhyw ddosbarth yn unol â darpariaethau adran 78 Deddf Llywodraeth Leol 1972 y mae is-adran (2) ohoni'n ei gwneud yn ofynnol i ni, cyn belled ag sy'n rhesymol ymarferol, gydymffurfio â'r rheolau a nodwyd yn Atodiad 11 y Ddeddf. Mae'r rheolau hyn (paragraff 3(2) yr Atodiad) yn pennu -

O ystyried unrhyw newid yn nifer neu ddosraniad yr etholwyr llywodraeth leol mewn dosbarth sy'n debyg o ddigwydd yn ystod y cyfnod o bum mlynedd yn union ar ôl arolwg,

TO:

THE RT HON WILLIAM WHITELOW CH MC MP  
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT

REVIEW OF DISTRICT ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS

REPORT AND PROPOSALS FOR THE BOROUGH OF NEATH

1. We, the Local Government Boundary Commission for Wales, have completed the review of district electoral arrangements for the Borough of Neath in the County of West Glamorgan, and present our Final Proposals for new electoral arrangements within the Borough.
2. The Borough of Neath has an electorate of approximately 51,900 and is at present divided into 16 wards returning 33 councillors. The present structure is outlined in Appendix 3.
3. We are required by Schedule 10 to the Local Government Act 1972, to review the electoral arrangements of a district as soon as practicable after the Secretary of State has announced his final decision on the last of the orders he proposes to make in respect of the communities in that district consequent upon the Special Community Review. Section 60 of the Act lays down procedural guidelines which are to be followed in carrying out the review, and we have followed this procedure which is explained in detail in our Report No 3, dated 2 February 1977.
4. We are obliged to consider our electoral arrangements for a district in accordance with the provisions of section 78 of the Local Government Act 1972, which requires us by subsection (2), to comply, as far as is reasonably practicable, with the rules set out in Schedule 11 to the Act. These rules, (paragraphs 3(2) of the Schedule), require that -

Having regard to any change in the number or distribution of local government electors of a district likely to take place within the period of five years immediately following a review,

- a. y bydd nifer yr etholwyr llywodraeth leol, o'i gymharu â nifer y cyngorwyr a etholir, yr un fath, neu mor agos â phosibl, ym mhob ward yn y dosbarth;
- b. mewn dosbarth, y bydd pob ward mewn cymdeithas sydd â chyngor cymdeithas (p'un ai ar wahân neu'n gyngor cyffredin) yn dod yn gyfan gwbl o fewn un ward yn y dosbarth;
- c. mewn dosbarth, y bydd pob cymdeithas sydd heb ei rhannu'n wardiau cymdeithas yn dod yn gyfan gwbl o fewn un ward yn y dosbarth.

Yn unol â'r rheolau hyn, mae hefyd yn ofynnol i ni roi sylw (a) i'r angen am bennu ffiniau sydd ac a fydd yn hawdd eu hadnabod a (b) i unrhyw gysylltiadau lleol a gâi eu torri wrth bennu unrhyw ffin arbennig. Gwnaethom ystyried y ddwy ffactor hyn wrth ddiffinio'r cymdeithasau a'r wardiau cymdeithas newydd yn ystod Arolwg Cymdeithas Arbennig y Fwrdeistref.

5. Nid yw Deddf Llywodraeth Leol 1972 yn cynnwys unrhyw ddarpariaeth ynglŷn â maint cyngorau dosbarth. Yn ein Hadroddiad Rhif 3 (paragraff 13, o dan y pennawd "Maint y Cyngorau"), tynnwyd sylw at yr amrywiaeth sylweddol yn y gynrychiolaeth ar lefel ddosbarth yng Nghymru, a dywedwyd mai'n barn ar y pryd oedd bod yr amrywiaeth yn fwy nag yr oedd eisiau iddi fod ond mai anaddas fyddai ceisio safoni'r aelodaeth.

6. Fe'n rhwystrir gan baragraff 13 Atodiad 10 y Ddeddf rhag arolygu trefniadau etholiadol unrhyw sir tan ar ôl gwneud y gorchymyn olaf ynglŷn â'r trefniadau etholiadol ar gyfer y dosbarthau o fewn y sir honno. Mae'r rheolau ar gyfer trefniadau etholiadol y siroedd, a geir ym mharagraff 1 Atodiad 11 y Ddeddf, yn debyg iawn i'r rhai ar gyfer trefniadau etholiadaol y dosbarthau. Ond mae effaith y cyfyngiadau'n fwy llym o lawer oherwydd darpariaethau adran 25(2)(a) y Ddeddf sy'n pennu y dylai pob rhanbarth etholiadol o fewn y siroedd ethol un cynghorydd yn unig. Ein nod fydd sicrhau y caiff pob dosbarth mewn sir ei gynrychioli'n briodol ar y cyngor sir.

- a. the ratio of the number of local government electors to the number of councillors to be elected shall be, as nearly as may be, the same in every ward of the district;
- b. in a district every ward of a community having a community council (whether separate or common) shall lie wholly within a single ward of the district;
- c. in a district every community which is not divided into community wards shall lie wholly within a single ward of the district.

Subject to these rules, we are required also to have regard to (a) the desirability of fixing boundaries which are and will remain easily identifiable and (b) any local ties which would be broken by the fixing of any particular boundary. We took account of these two factors when we defined the new communities and community wards during the Special Community Review of the Borough.

5. The Local Government Act 1972 does not contain any provisions concerning the size of district councils. In our Report No 3 (paragraph 13 headed "Size of Council"), we drew attention to the considerable disparity in representation at district level in Wales, and said that our provisional view was that the range of differences was greater than it need be but that it would be inappropriate to try to establish a standardised scale of membership.

6. We are precluded by paragraph 13 of Schedule 10 to the Act from reviewing electoral arrangements for counties until after the last order has been made in respect of the electoral arrangements for the districts in a county. The rules for county electoral arrangements contained in paragraph 1 of Schedule 11 to the Act are very similar to those for district electoral arrangements. However, the constraints are made far more rigid by the provisions of section 25(2)(a) of the Act, which require that each county electoral division should return one councillor only. It will be our aim to ensure that each district in a county is properly represented on the county council.

7. Ar 16 Mehefin 1980, cyhoeddodd Ysgrifennydd Gwladol Cymru ei benderfyniad terfynol ar yr olaf o'r gorchmynion y bwriada eu gwneud o ganlyniad i'r Arolwg Cymdeithas Arbennig o Fwrdeistref Nedd. Yn unol â'r drefniadaeth a gyhoeddwyd gennym, gwnaethom ysgrifennu ar 31 Gorffennaf 1980 at Gyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd, Cyngor Sir Gorllewin Morgannwg, holl gynghorau cymdeithas yr ardal, Aelod Seneddol yr etholaeth leol, cymdeithasau'r awdurdodau lleol a'r pleidiau gwleidyddol, i ddweud wrthynt ein bod yn bwriadu bwrw ymlaen â'r arolwg ac yn gofyn am eu sylwadau, gan gynnwys sylwadau ynglŷn â maint priodol y Cyngor Bwrdeistref newydd. Yn ogystal, gofynnwyd i'r Cyngor Bwrdeistref roi gwybod i ni am nifer yr etholwyr ym mhob cymdeithas a ward gymdeithas newydd ac amcangyfrif nifer yr etholwyr ar gyfer pob un o'r ardaloedd hynny ym 1986. Gwnaethom hefyd gyhoeddi mewn papurau newydd lleol yn cylchredeg yn y Fwrdeistref ein bwriad i gynnal yr arolwg.

Crynodeb o'r sylwadau a dderbyniwyd cyn llunio'r Cynigion Drafft

8. Dywedai Cyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd fod maint presennol y Cyngor o 33 aelod fwy neu lai'n gywir yn eu barn hwy, ond na fyddent yn gwrthwynebu newid bach yn yr aelodaeth pe bai raid wrth un er mwyn sicrhau cynrychiolaeth gytbwys rhwng y wardiau. Dangosai eu hamcangyfrifon nad oedd nifer yr etholwyr ym mhob ward yn debyg o newid ryw lawer: yr eithriadau oedd Wardiau Cefnsaeson a Chrynallt yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd lle'r oedd ystadau preswyl preifat yn cael eu hadeiladu - datblygiad a gwblheid, yn ôl pob tebyg, erbyn 1985. Yn yr un modd, yn Ward De Bryn-coch yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan y ceid yr unig ddarn mawr o dir â chaniatâd cynllunio lle y gallai gwaith ddechrau cyn 1985 a thrwy hynny, efallai, effeithio ar nifer yr etholwyr.

9. Ni chawsom gynllun ffurfiol gan y Cyngor Bwrdeistref. Cyflwynodd Clerc a Phrif Swyddog Gweithredol y Fwrdeistref adroddiad rhagarweiniol i Bwyllgor Ffiniau'r Cyngor. Yna, cyflwynodd Grŵp Llafur Cyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd i ni gynllun etholiadol y cytunwyd arno, meddid, gan y pleidiau gwleidyddol eraill ar y Cyngor Bwrdeistref, ynghyd â chopi o adroddiad y lerc i Bwyllgor Ffiniau'r Cyngor. (Gweler Atodiad 4).

10. Ni wnaeth Cyngor Sir Gorllewin Morgannwg unrhyw sylwadau ynglŷn â maint y

7. The Secretary of State for Wales announced on 16 June 1980 his final decision on the last of the orders he proposes to make consequent on the Special Community Review of the Borough of Neath. We, in accordance with our published procedure, wrote on 31 July 1980 to Neath Borough Council, West Glamorgan County Council, all community councils in the area, the Member of Parliament for the local constituency, the local authority associations and political parties informing them of our intention to proceed with the review and requesting their views, including views on the appropriate size of the new Borough Council. In addition we asked the Borough Council to provide us with the number of electors in each new community and community ward and the estimated number of electors for each of those areas in 1986. We also published our intention to conduct the review in local newspapers circulating in the Borough.

Summary of representations received prior to draft proposals

8. Neath Borough Council stated that in their view the present size of the Council of 33 members was about right, but they would not object to a minor adjustment in membership if this was required for the purpose of achieving a proper balance of ward representation. Their estimates showed that the electorate of each ward was unlikely to change very much; the exceptions being in the Cefn Saeson and Crynallt Wards of the Community of Neath, where private residential estates were under construction and in all probability would be completed by 1985. Similarly the Bryncoch South Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan contained the only large area of land with planning permission where work might start before 1985 and which could affect the size of the electorate.

9. The Borough Council did not submit a formal scheme to us. The Clerk and Chief Officer of the Borough presented a preliminary report to the Boundaries Committee of the Council. The Neath Borough Council Labour Group then submitted an electoral scheme to us, which, they said, had the agreement of the other political parties on the Borough Council and this was accompanied by a copy of the Clerk's report to the Boundaries Committee of the Council. (See Appendix 4).

10. West Glamorgan County Council made no observations on the size of the

Cyngor Bwrdeistref. Barn yr Aelod Sirol dros ardaloedd Llanilltud Fach, Gnoll, Cimla Isaf a Glannant oedd y dylai pob un o'r ardaloedd hyn fod ag un cynghorydd dosbarth, am y cynrychiolid y cylch cyfun ar y pryd gan bedwar cynghorydd dosbarth ac na allai'r etholwyr, felly, uniaethu'u hunain ag un cynghorydd arbennig. Yn ogystal, cyflwynodd y Cyngor Sir, er gwybodaeth, nodyn ar ddatblygiadau tai yn ardal Castell-nedd yn y dyfodol, a gadarnhâi sylwadau'r Cyngor Bwrdeistref.

11. Yn eu sylwadau hwy, dywedai Grŵp Plaid Lafur Cyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd eu bod, wrth benderfynu'r pwyntiau yr oeddent am eu gwneud, wedi cadw mewn cof Adroddiad Rhif 3 y Comisiwn, Atodiad B, a gynhwysai'r rheolau oedd i'w dilyn wrth ystyried trefniadau etholiadol y dosbarthau. Nodent nad oedd awgrymiadau'r Clerc ar gyfer Wardiau Gogledd a De Tref Castell-nedd a Chymdeithas Llansawel yn cydnabod bodolaeth cymdeithasau o fewn yr ardal a'u bod yn methu cymryd i ystyriaeth:-

- i. realiti ffordd Cwm Afan/Cimla fel llinell rannu rhwng cymdeithasau;
- ii. bod cydberthynas glòs rhwng dwy ward gymdeithas Penrhiwtyn a Melincryddan ac y dylid eu cynnwys yn yr un ward ddosbarth;
- iii. nad oedd etholwyr Penrhiwtyn yn ystyried eu hunain yn rhan o Gymdeithas Llansawel. Dywedent y cydnabyddid hyn gan y Comisiwn yn yr Arolwg Cymdeithas Arbennig a gynigiai gymdeithas ar wahân ar gyfer Llansawel, heb gynnwys Penrhiwtyn.

Ychwanegent fod un o gynghorwyr Ward y Gogledd ar y pryd yn cytuno ag awgrym y Clerc y dylid cyfuno Wardiau Cefnsaeson a Chrynallt oherwydd eu cydberthynas glòs.

12. Dywedai Grŵp y Blaid Lafur mai barn yr aelodau lleol oedd na ddylid cyfuno Dulais ac Onllwyn at ddibenion etholiadau dosbarth. Gwahanwyd yr ardaloedd hyn at ddibenion cyngor cymdeithas ac yr oedd y ddwy gymdeithas yn wahanol a phlwyfol iawn yn eu hagwedd at faterion llywodraeth leol. Gwrthwynebai'r

Borough Council. The view taken by the County Member for the Llantwit, Gnoll, Lower Cimla and Glannant areas was that each of these areas should have one district councillor since the combined area was at present represented by four district councillors and the electors were therefore unable to identify themselves with one councillor. The County Council also submitted, for information, a note on future housing development in the Neath area, which confirmed the observations of the Borough Council.

11. In their submission, the Neath Borough Council Labour Party Group said that in determining their comments they had had regard to the Commission's Report No 3, Appendix B, which contained the rules to be observed in considering district electoral arrangements. They said that the Clerk's suggestions for the North and South Wards of Neath Town and for the Community of Briton Ferry did not recognise the existence of communities within the area, and failed to take into account:-

- i. the reality of the Afan Valley/Cimla Roads as a community dividing line,
- ii. that the two community wards of Penrhiwtyn and Melyncrythan have a close affinity and should be included in the same district ward;
- iii. that the electors of Penrhiwtyn did not consider themselves part of the Community of Briton Ferry. They said that this was recognised by the Commission in the Special Community Review which proposed a separate Community for Briton Ferry and which excluded Penrhiwtyn.

They added that one of the existing North Ward councillors agreed with the Clerk's suggestion that the Cefn Saeson and Crynallt Wards should be merged because of their close affinity.

12. The Labour Party Group said that it was the view of the local members that Dylais and Onllwyn should not be merged for district electoral purposes. These areas had been separated for community council purposes and the two communities were very distinct and parochial in their attitude to local

Grŵp hefyd yr awgrym y dylid cyfuno Ward Gogledd Coed-ffranc a Dyffryn Clydach, gan nad oedd unrhyw berthynas, meddent, rhwng yr ardaloedd hyn ac nad oedd cynrychiolaeth o un cynghorydd dosbarth yn addas oherwydd y ddaearyddiaeth leol. Anogent, felly, gynrychiolaeth ar wahân ar gyfer Dyffryn Clydach, ardal a fyddai'n parhau i fod â'i chynghor cymdeithas ei hun. Gofynnent hefyd am i Wardiau Gogledd a De Cymdeithas Blaenhonddan aros yn un ward at ddibenion etholiadau dosbarth, ac i bentref Blaen-gwrach gael ei gynrychioli ar wahân a pheidio â chael ei gyfuno â Ward y Canol Gorllewinol yng Nghymdeithas Glyn-nedd oherwydd nad oedd unrhyw berthynas ddaearyddol rhwng yr ardaloedd hynny.

#### Cynigion Drafft

13. Cyhoeddwyd ein Cynigion Drafft ar 26 Mawrth 1981. Dywedwyd ein bod yn credu bod maint y cyngor presennol fwy neu lai'n gywir ar gyfer Bwrdeistref Nedd ac mai'n prif dasg oedd dosbarthu'r gynrychiolaeth er mwyn sicrhau cydbwysedd da, gan gymryd i ystyriaeth amcangyfrifon y Cyngor Bwrdeistref o nifer yr etholwyr ymhen pum mlynedd a chan weithio o fewn cyfyngiadau Atodiad 11.

14. Cynigiwyd y dylai'r Fwrdeistref fod â chynghor o 34 aelod, un yn fwy nag ar hyn o bryd, wedi'u hethol o blith 22 ward a phob ward wedi'i chynrychioli gan nifer y cynghorwyr yr oedd ganddi hawl iddynt.\*

#### Crynodeb o'r sylwadau a dderbyniwyd ynglŷn â'r Cynigion Drafft

15. Ar wahân i awgrymiadau ynglŷn ag enwau, dywedai Cyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd nad oedd ganddynt unrhyw sylwadau i'w gwneud ar y Cynigion Drafft mewn cysylltiad â'r wardiau canlynol:- Y Creunant, Glyn-nedd, Blaen-gwrach, Y Clun, Resolfen, Pelenna, Tonna, Aberdulais, Llangatwg, Dyffryn Clydach, Llanilltud Fach, Penrhiwtyn, Dwyrain Llansawel a Gorllewin Llansawel. Yr oedd eu sylwadau ar y wardiau eraill fel a ganlyn:-

- (a) Dulais Nodent y cydnabyddid yn yr Arolwg Cymdeithas Arbennig fod hunaniaethau ar wahân gan Gymdeithasau Dulais ac Onllwyn, a dyma farn y Cyngor o hyd. Er y cytunent fod cryn wahaniaeth rhwng niferoedd yr etholwyr yn y ddwy gymdeithas hyn, yr oeddent o'r farn y byddai un ward ddau-aelod yn rhy helaeth gan fod pellter, o'r

\*Gweler y troednodyn i Atodiad 2.

government affairs. The Group also opposed the suggestion that the North Ward of Coedffranc should be merged with Dyffryn Clydach since they said that there was no affinity between these areas and the terrain of the area made it difficult for it to be covered by one district councillor. They therefore urged separate representation for Dyffryn Clydach, an area that would continue to have its own community council. They also requested that the North and South Community Wards of the Community of Blaenhonddan should remain as one ward for district electoral purposes and that the village of Blaengwrach should be separately represented and not merged with the West Central Ward of the Community of Glynneath because there was no geographical affinity between these areas.

#### Draft Proposals

13. Our Draft Proposals were published on 26 March 1981. We said that we considered the size of the present council to be approximately right for the Borough of Neath and that our main task was to distribute the representation in such a way as to achieve good parity, taking into account the Borough Council's estimates of the size of the electorate five years hence, and working within the constraints imposed by Schedule 11.

14. We proposed that the Borough should have a council of 34 members, one more than at present, elected from 22 wards, each ward being represented by the number of councillors to which it was entitled.\*

#### Summary of representations received on Draft Proposals

15. Neath Borough Council said that apart from suggestions relating to names they had no observations on the Draft Proposals in respect of the following wards:- Crynant, Glynneath, Blaengwrach, Clyne, Resolven, Pelenna, Tonna, Aberdulais, Cadoxton, Dyffryn Clydach, Llantwit, Penrhiwtyn, Briton Ferry East and Briton Ferry West. Their observations on the other wards were as follows:-

- (a) Dylais They said that in the Special Community Review the Communities of Dylais and Onllwyn were recognised as having separate identities and this remained the view of the Council. Whilst it was recognised that there was a significant disparity between the electorate in these two communities, they were of

\*See footnote to Appendix 2.



dwyrain i'r gorllewin, o ryw 6.5 milltir. Awgryment ddwy ward un-aelod, Dulais ac Onllwyn, gan ddweud y byddai hyn yn tueddu i gryfhau cysylltiadau lleol a diogelu'r ymwybyddiaeth gref o gymuned a oedd yn ddiâu yn bodoli.

- (b) De a Gogledd Bryn-coch At ei gilydd, derbynient farn Cyngor Cymdeithas Blaenhonddan y dylai'r gymdeithas ffurfio un ward dri-aelod.
- (c) Coed-ffranc Yr oedd y cynnig y dylai Ward Ddosbarth Gogledd Coed-ffranc gael ei chynrychioli gan un aelod yn dderbyniol. Awgryment, fodd bynnag, y dylai'r pedair ward gymdeithas arall ffurfio un ward ddosbarth dri-aelod gan y byddai trefniant o'r fath yn tueddu i gryfhau cysylltiadau lleol yn yr ardal hon - prif ardal fasnachol a phreswyl y cylch.
- (d) Cefnsaeson a Mount Pleasant Dywedent iddynt benderfynu derbyn ffordd Cwm Afan/Cimla yn llinell rannu rhwng wardiau gan ei bod yn adnabyddus a sefydledig ac, er mwyn diogelu cysylltiadau lleol, awgryment y dylai Wardiau Cefnsaeson a Gnoll yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd ffurfio ward ddosbarth ddau-aelod ac y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas Y Creunant a Mount Pleasant ffurfio ward ddosbarth dri-aelod. Awgryment hefyd y dylai'r ddwy ward hyn gael eu galw "Cefnsaeson a Gnoll" ac "Y Creunant a Mount Pleasant".

Teimlai'r Cyngor Bwrdeistref y dylid defnyddio cyd-enwau'r cymdeithasau neu'r wardiau cymdeithas, gan y byddai hynny'n ei gwneud yn haws i'r etholwyr a fyddai fel arfer yn pleidleisio i'r un wardiau mewn etholiadau cyngor bwrdeistref a chyngor cymdeithas ar yr un diwrnod. Awgryment yr enwau canlynol:-

the opinion that a single two-member ward would be excessive in size with a distance, from east to west, of approximately 6.5 miles. They suggested two single-member wards, Dylais and Onllwyn, saying that this would tend to strengthen local ties and preserve the strong community identity which undoubtedly exists.

- (b) Bryncoch South and North "On balance" they accepted the views of Blaenhonddan Community Council that the community should form a single three-member ward.
- (c) Coedffranc The proposal for Coedffranc North District Ward to be represented by one member was acceptable. They suggested, however, that the remaining four community wards should form one three-member district ward as this arrangement would tend to strengthen local ties in this, the main commercial and residential part of the area.
- (d) Cefn Saeson and Mount Pleasant They said that they had decided to accept the Afan Valley Road/Cimla Road as a dividing ward line since it was well-known and established, and in order to maintain local ties they suggested that the Cefn Saeson and Gnoll Wards of the Community of Neath should form a two-member district ward and that Crynallt and Mount Pleasant Community Wards should form a three-member district ward. They also suggested that these two wards should be known as "Cefn Saeson & Gnoll" and "Crynallt & Mount Pleasant."

The Borough Council felt that joint names of the communities or community wards should be used since it made it easier for the electors who would usually be voting for the same wards at Borough Council and community elections on the same day. They suggested the following names:-

Blaen-gwrach	Blaen-gwrach a Chanol Gorllewinol Glyn-nedd
Y Clun	Y Clun a De Resolfen
Resolfen	Gogledd Resolfen
Aberdulais	Aberdulais a Chil-ffriw
Llanilltud Fach	Llanilltud Fach a'r Castell
Cefn Saeson	Cefn Saeson a Chrynallt
Mount Pleasant	Mount Pleasant a Gnoll
Penrhiwtyn	Penrhiwtyn a Melincryddan
Dwyrain Llansawel	Craigydarren a Chwrt-sart
Gorllewin Llansawel	Brynhyfryd a Shelone Wood

Os defnyddid enwau byrrach ar gyfer wardiau dosbarth, fodd bynnag, yr oedd yn well gan y Cyngor "Melincryddan" na "Phenrhiwtyn".

16. Cytunai Cyngor Sir Gorllewin Morgannwg â sylwadau'r Cyngor Bwrdeistref ynglŷn â'r Cynigion Drafft, ac eithrio yn achos Coed-ffranc lle y teimlid y dylai Cymdeithas Coed-ffranc fod yn un ward ddosbarth, fel ar hyn o bryd, gan ethol pedwar aelod.

17. Derbyniai Cyngor Cymdeithas Blaenhonddan y trefniadau etholiadol arfaethedig ar gyfer Wardiau Llangatwg, Cil-ffriw ac Aberdulais o fewn eu cymdeithas hwy ond gwrthwynebant y rhai ar gyfer dwy ward Bryn-coch. Yr oedd y Cyngor o'r farn na ddylid rhannu Bryn-coch yn ddwy ward at ddibenion etholiadau dosbarth ond y dylid ei gadw'n un ward wedi'i chynrychioli gan dri aelod a fyddai wedyn yn gallu perthnasu'u meddyliau a'u diddordebau i ardal Bryn-coch yn ei chyfanrwydd. Nodent i gryn nifer o dai gael eu codi ym Bryn-coch yn ystod y blynyddoedd diwethaf, i lawer o'r anheddau newydd gael eu meddiannu, neu y byddent yn cael eu meddiannu, gan bentrefwyr o Bryn-coch oedd â chysylltiadau cymuned cryf, ac, er gwaethaf yr adeiladu newydd, y byddai'r ymwybyddiaeth o berthyn i gymuned yn parhau. Felly, ni fyddai rhannu Bryn-coch yn ddwy ward ond yn polareiddio diddordebau cymuned, er drwg i'r rheiny.

18. Gwrthwynebai Cyngor Cymdeithas Resolfen y cynnig i sefydlu dwy ward ddosbarth ar wahân ar gyfer Cymdeithasau Y Clun a Resolfen. Dywedent y câi'r pentref ei amddifadu o gynghorydd i bob pwrpas, gan mai'r duedd fyddai i bob cynghorydd edrych ar ôl buddiannau ei ward ei hun yn hytrach na rhoi sylw i anghenion yr holl gymdeithasau dan sylw fel ar hyn o bryd. Ymhellach, ni fyddai cynghorydd arall ar gael mewn achos o anhwylddeb dros amser maith. Daethant

Blaengwrach	Blaengwrach and Glynneath West Central
Clyne	Clyne and Resolven South
Resolven	Resolven North
Aberdulais	Aberdulais and Cilfrew
Llantwit	Llantwit and Castle
Cefn Saeson	Cefn Saeson and Crynallt
Mount Pleasant	Mount Pleasant and Gnoll
Penrhiwtyn	Penrhiwtyn and Melincrythan
Briton Ferry East	Craig-y-Darren and Cwrt Sart
Briton Ferry West	Brynhyfryd and Shelone Wood

If, however, shorter names were used for district wards, the Council preferred Melincrythan to Penrhiwtyn.

16. West Glamorgan County Council agreed with the Borough Council's observations on the Draft Proposals with the exception of Coedffranc where it was felt that the Community of Coedffranc should be a single district ward as at present returning four members.

17. Blaenhonddan Community Council accepted the proposed electoral arrangements for the Cadoxton, Cilfrew and Aberdulais wards of their community but were opposed to those for the two Bryncoch Wards. The Council were of the opinion that Bryncoch should not be divided into two wards for district electoral purposes but should be retained as one ward and represented by three members who would then be able to direct their thoughts and interests to the Bryncoch area as a whole. They said that considerable house-building had taken place in Bryncoch in recent years, and that a large number of the new properties had been and would be occupied by Bryncoch villagers with strong community ties and that despite the new building, the sense of belonging to a community would remain. Therefore to divide Bryncoch into two wards would only serve to polarize community interests to their detriment.

18. Resolven Community Council objected to the proposal for two separate district wards covering the Communities of Clyne and Resolven. They said that in effect the village would be deprived of a councillor since the tendency would be for each councillor to look to the interests of his own ward rather than to the needs of all the communities concerned as at present. Furthermore, there would not be an alternative councillor available in the case of prolonged sickness. They concluded that the proposals were merely being made in the interest of some "mathematically tidy arrangement" instead of the wishes of the electorate.

i'r casgliad bod y cynigion yn cael eu gwneud er mwyn rhyw drefniant "mathemategol daclus" yn unig, yn hytrach nag yn unol â dymuniadau'r etholwyr.

19. Yr oedd y Cynghorydd J Warman, aelod Ward y Gogledd ar y Cyngor Bwrdeistref, yn cefnogi'r Cynigion Drafft ar gyfer y ddwy ward ddosbarth newydd, Cefn Saeson a Mount Pleasant, a groesai ffordd Cwm Afan yn hytrach na'r ffin bresennol a ddilynai'r ffordd ei hun. Dywedai fod y trefniadau etholiadol presennol yn peri anhawster a rhwystredigaeth i'r etholwyr gan fod y gymdeithas ar y pryd wedi'i rhannu gan brif ffordd Cwm Afan.

20. Cefnogai Cymdeithas Canolfan Gymunedol Cimla awgrym y Cyngor Bwrdeistref ynglŷn â newidiadau i'r ffiniau etholiadol a effeithiai ar Wardiau presennol y Gogledd a'r De yn ardal Cyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd. Fel corff etholedig yn cynrychioli'r ddwy ochr i ffordd Cwm Afan, lle'r oedd yr holl drigolion yn ystyried eu bod yn perthyn i Gymdeithas Cimla, yr oedd Cymdeithas y Ganolfan Gymunedol yn cefnogi unrhyw gynnig a fyddai'n eu cyfuno. Yr oeddent o'r farn, fodd bynnag, nad oedd y cynigion yn mynd yn ddigon pell i ymgorffori'r holl drigolion a deimlai eu bod yn perthyn i Gimla ac awgryment, felly, dynnu'r ffin yn fras rhwng ardaloedd Swyddfa Bost Cimla a Gnoll Gates.

21. Yr oedd pump o aelodau Ward y De ar Gyngor Cymdeithas Castell-nedd yn gwrthwynebu'r trefniadau etholiadol arfaethedig ar gyfer Ward bresennol y De. Cytunent y dylai Wardiau Melincryddan a Phenrhiwtyn yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd ffurfio ward ddosbarth gan fod cydberthynas gymunedol bendant. Gwrthwynebant y cynnig y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas Mount Pleasant a Gnoll ffurfio ward ddosbarth, a hefyd y cynnig y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas Crynallt a Cefn Saeson ffurfio ward ddosbarth arall, gan eu bod o'r farn nad oedd unrhyw gysylltiad rhwng y wardiau arfaethedig hynny. Awgryment hwy y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas Mount Pleasant a Chrynallt ffurfio un ward ddosbarth ac y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas Cefn Saeson a Gnoll ffurfio ward ddosbarth arall, gan y byddai hyn yn cydnabod y gydberthynas a fodolai o fewn pob un o'r ardaloedd dan sylw.

22. Yr oedd Cangen Ward y Gogledd o Blaid Lafur Castell-nedd o blaid y cynigion ar gyfer ardal Cimla fel y byddai Wardiau Cefn Saeson a Chrynallt yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd yn ffurfio un ward ddosbarth wedi'i chynrychioli gan ddau aelod.

23. Gwrthwynebai Plaid Lafur Ward Resolfen y cynnig i rannu Resolfen yn ddwy ward ddosbarth, Gogledd a De, a Ward y De yn dod yn rhan o'r Clun at ddibenion etholiadau dosbarth. Nodent y byddai rhyw 700 o etholwyr yn pleidleisio yn Ward Ddosbarth Y Clun, dair milltir i ffwrdd. Ymhellach

19. Councillor J Warman, the North Ward Member on the Borough Council, supported the Draft Proposals for the two new district wards, Cefn Saeson and Mount Pleasant, which crossed the Afan Valley Road rather than the present boundary line which was along the road. He said that the present electoral arrangements caused difficulty and frustration to the electors as the community at the moment was divided by the main Afan Valley Road.

20. Cimla Community Centre Association supported the Borough Council's suggestion regarding alterations in the electoral boundaries affecting the present North and South Wards in the Neath Borough Council area. As an elected organisation representing both sides of the Afan Valley Road, where all the residents considered themselves to belong to the Community of Cimla, the Association supported any proposal which unified them. They were, however, of the opinion that the proposals did not go far enough to incorporate all the residents who felt that they belonged to Cimla and they therefore suggested that the boundary should be drawn roughly between the Cimla Post Office and the Gnoll Gates areas.

21. Five South Ward Members of Neath Community Council objected to the proposed electoral arrangements for the existing South Ward. They agreed that the Melyncrythan and Penrhiwtyn Wards of the Community of Neath should form a district ward since there was a definite community affinity. They objected to the Mount Pleasant and Gnoll Community wards forming a district ward and also to the Crynallt and Cefn Saeson Community Wards forming another district ward as they were of the opinion that there was no affinity within these proposed wards. They suggested that the community wards of Mount Pleasant and Crynallt should form one district ward and that the Cefn Saeson and Gnoll Community wards should form another district ward since this would recognise the affinity within each of these areas.

22. The North Ward Branch of the Neath Labour Party favoured the proposals for the Cimla area, whereby the Cefn Saeson and Crynallt Wards of the Community of Neath formed one district ward represented by two members.

23. The Resolfen Ward Labour Party objected to the proposal to divide Resolfen into two district wards, North and South, the South Ward becoming part of Clyne for district purposes. They said that some 700 electors would be voting in the Clyne district ward, three miles away. Furthermore, they were deeply disturbed that to create two separate wards would be a division of family life and responsibilities in what was one village community. Mr D R Coleman MP supported

parai aflonyddwch mawr iddynt y byddai creu dwy ward ar wahân yn rhannu bywyd teuluol a chyfrifoldebau mewn cymuned a oedd yn un gymuned bentrefol. Yr oedd Mr D R Coleman AS yn cefnogi'r sylwadau hyn ac anogai y dylid rhoi ystyriaeth bellach i'r cynnig oherwydd y chwalfa gymdeithasol a achosid trwy rannu Ward bresennol Resolfen.

24. Yr oedd Cangen Glyn-nedd o Blaid Lafur Castell-nedd yn gwrthwynebu'r cynigion ar gyfer Glyn-nedd a Blaen-gwrach. Yr oedd Blaen-gwrach wedi'i rannu'n naturiol oddi wrth Lyn-nedd gan Afon Nedd ac ers llawer blwyddyn yr oedd y ddau bentref wedi datblygu'n gymunedau gwahanol iawn ac ar wahân. Credent y byddai rhannu etholwyr Ward y Canol Gorllewinol at ddibenion etholiadol yn andwyol i gydberthynas naturiol yr ardaloedd hyn. Awgryment, felly, y dylai Cymdeithas Glyn-nedd ffurfio ward ddosbarth ddau-aelod ac y dylai Cymdeithas Blaen-gwrach ffurfio ward un-aelod fel ar hyn o bryd, neu, fel arall, y dylid cynnwys trigolion Pontwalby a Glangwrelych yn Ward Blaen-gwrach er mwyn cynyddu nifer yr etholwyr ac oherwydd y byddai Pontwalby cyn hir yn dod yn rhan o ardal Glyn-nedd/Blaen-gwrach.

25. Mynegai Cangen Bryn-coch o Blaid Cymru eu cefnogaeth i'r Cynigion Drafft ynglŷn â ffiniau'r wardiau yn ardal Blaenhonddan. Nodent fod tueddiad naturiol i'r gymuned yn y pentref ei hun (Bryn-coch) sefyll ar wahân i weddill yr ardal ac nad oedd ond yn synnwyr cyffredin, yn weinyddol, felly, i rannu'r ardal yn ddwy ward ddosbarth. Byddai un rhanbarth etholiadol, wedi'i gynrychioli gan dri aelod, yn eithriadol o letchwith ei reoli a hefyd yn andwyol i les y bobl.

26. Derbyniai Urdd Trethdalwyr Castell-nedd y trefniadau wardio ar gyfer Cymdeithas Castell-nedd. Awgryment hefyd y dylai Wardiau arfaethedig Llanilltud Fach, Cefn Saeson, Mount Pleasant a Phenrhiwtyn gael eu galw Gogledd Castell-nedd, Cimla, De Castell-nedd a Dwyrain Castell-nedd, gan fod yr enwau hyn yn syml, disgrifiadol a chryno.

27. Ni wnaeth y Cyngor Sir unrhyw sylwadau ynglŷn ag effaith ein cynigion ar Arolwg Etholiadol y Sir. Yr oedd y Cyngor Bwrdeistref, fodd bynnag, yn fodlon y byddai'n bosibl creu rhaniadau addas ar gyfer etholiadau sirol, yn seiliedig ar 13 aelod y Cyngor Sir fel ar hyn o bryd.

#### Asesiad

28. Derbyniwyd yn gyffredinol y Cynigion Drafft ar gyfer Wardiau Y Creunant, Pelenna, Tonna, Aberdulais, Llangatwg, Dyffryn, Dwyrain Llansawel a Gorllewin Llansawel. Yr ydym, felly, yn eu cadarnhau fel ein Cynigion Terfynol.

these views and urged that further consideration should be given to this proposal because of the social upheaval that would be caused by the breaking up of the existing Resolven Ward.

24. The Glynneath Ward of the Neath Labour Party opposed the proposals for Glynneath and Blaengwrach. Blaengwrach was separated naturally from Glynneath by the River Neath and over many years the two villages had evolved as very different and separate communities. They considered that to divide the electors in the West Central Ward for electoral purposes would be detrimental to the natural affinity of these areas. They, therefore, suggested that the Community of Glynneath should form a two-member district ward and that the Community of Blaengwrach should form a single-member ward as at present, or alternatively, the residents of Pontwalby and Glan Gwrelych could be included in the Blaengwrach Ward in order to increase their electorate and because Pontwalby would soon become part of the Glynneath/Blaengwrach area.

25. The Bryncoch Branch of Plaid Cymru expressed their support for the Draft Proposals regarding the ward boundaries in the Blaenhonddan area. They pointed out that there was a natural tendency for the community in the village itself (Bryncoch) to remain apart from the rest of the area, and, therefore, administratively it made common-sense to divide the area into two district wards. A single electoral area represented by three members would be extremely awkward to manage and also detrimental to the welfare of the people.

26. Neath Ratepayers' Guild accepted the warding arrangements for the Community of Neath. They also suggested that the proposed ward names of Llantwit, Cefn Saeson, Mount Pleasant and Penrhiwtyn should be known as Neath North, Cimla, Neath South and Neath East, respectively, as being simple, descriptive and concise.

27. The County Council made no comments on the effect of our proposals on the County Electoral Review. The Borough Council, however, were satisfied that it would be possible to create suitable County Electoral divisions based on 13 members of the County Council as at present.

#### Assessment

28. There has been general acceptance of the Draft Proposals for the Crynant, Pelenna, Tonna, Aberdulais, Cadoxton, Dyffryn, Briton Ferry East and Briton Ferry West Wards. We, therefore, confirm them as our Final Proposals.

29. Derbyniwn yr achos a gyflwynwyd gan y Cyngor Bwrdeistref dros wardiau dosbarth ar wahân ar gyfer Cymdeithasau Dulais ac Onllwyn. Er y byddai'r gynrychiolaeth yn anghybwys, mae gan yr ardaloedd hyn hunaniaethau gwahanol iawn ac maent wedi'u hynysu y naill oddi wrth y llall. Cynigiwn, felly, y dylai pob cymdeithas ffurfio ward ddosbarth wedi'i chynrychioli gan un cynghorydd.

30. Daliwn o'r farn na fyddai'n ddymunol i Gymdeithasau Blaen-gwrach a Glyn-nedd fod yn wardiau dosbarth ar wahân wedi'u cynrychioli gan un a dau aelod yn unol ag awgrym Cangen Glyn-nedd o Blaid Lafur Castell-nedd, gan mai prin y byddai gan Ward Blaen-gwrach hawl i un cynghorydd yn ôl nifer yr etholwyr a amcangyfrifir ar gyfer 1986. Cadarnhawn, felly, ein Cynigion Drafft y dylai Cymdeithas Blaen-gwrach a Ward y Canol Gorllewinol yng Nghymdeithas Glyn-nedd ffurfio un ward ddosbarth wedi'i chynrychioli gan un cynghorydd, ac y dylai tair ward arall Cymdeithas Glyn-nedd ffurfio ward ddosbarth wedi'i chynrychioli gan ddau gynghorydd.

31. Dadleuai Cyngor Cymdeithas Blaenhonddan dros ffurfio un ward ddosbarth yn cynnwys Wardiau Cymdeithas Gogledd Bryn-coch a De Bryn-coch ac i'w chynrychioli gan dri chynghorydd, ac yr oedd y Cyngor Bwrdeistref yn derbyn eu cynigion at ei gilydd. Dywedai'r Cyngor Cymdeithas y cafwyd cryn adeiladu yn Ward De Bryn-coch, bod datblygiad pellach yn yr arfaeth yn ystod y pum mlynedd nesaf ac y meddiennid llawer o'r anheddau dan sylw gan bentrefwyr Bryn-coch, gan ddiogelu trwy hynny yr ymwybyddiaeth o berthyn. Deallwn fod y datblygu sy'n mynd rhagddo ar hyn o bryd yn ardal De Bryn-coch yn cwrdd ag anghenion y fwrdeistref gyfan, os nad cylch ehangach y mae pentref Bryn-coch yn ffurfio darn bach ohono. Credwn, felly, y dylai Ward Gymdeithas De Bryn-coch, sy'n cynnwys yr ardaloedd a ddatblygir ar hyn o bryd, gael ei chynrychioli ar wahân ar y Cyngor Bwrdeistref - barn a gefnogir gan Gangen Bryn-coch o Blaid Cymru - a chynigiwn yn unol â hynny.

32. Rhoesom ystyriaeth i gynigion y Cyngor Bwrdeistref y dylai'r pedair ward gymdeithas ddeheuol yng Nghymdeithas Coed-ffranc, sef Canol Dwyreiniol, Canol, Gorllewin a Chanol Gorllewinol, ffurfio un ward ddosbarth gyda'i gilydd er mwyn cryfhau cysylltiadau lleol. Daliwn o'r farn, fodd bynnag, y dylai'r wardiau dosbarth fod yn llai fel y caiff pob ardal ei chynrychioli ar wahân ar y Cyngor Bwrdeistref. Cadarnhawn, felly, ein Cynigion Drafft y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas y Canol Dwyreiniol a'r Canol ffurfio un ward ddosbarth wedi'i chynrychioli gan ddau gynghorydd, ac y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas y Gorllewin a'r Canol Gorllewinol ffurfio ward ddosbarth ar wahân wedi'i chynrychioli gan un cynghorydd.

29. We accept the case made by the Borough Council for separate district wards for each of the Communities of Dylais and Onllwyn. Even though the representation would be out of balance, these areas have very separate identities and are isolated from each other. We propose, therefore, that each community should form a district ward, each represented by one councillor.

30. We remain of the opinion that it would be undesirable for the Communities of Blaengwrach and Glynneath to have separate district wards represented by one and two members respectively as suggested by the Glynneath Ward of the Neath Labour Party, since the estimated electorate for the Community of Blaengwrach in 1986 would barely entitle it to one councillor. We, therefore, confirm our Draft Proposals that the Community of Blaengwrach and the West Central Ward of the Community of Glynneath should form one district ward represented by one councillor and that the remaining three wards of the Community of Glynneath should form a district ward represented by two councillors.

31. Blaenhonddan Community Council advocated the formation of one district ward comprising the community wards of Bryncoch North and Bryncoch South, to be represented by three councillors and the Borough Council "on balance" accepted their proposals. The Community Council stated that there had been considerable building in the Bryncoch South Ward with further development planned over the next five years and that a great number of these properties would be occupied by Bryncoch villagers thus retaining the sense of belonging. We understand that the development at present taking place in the Bryncoch South area meets the needs of the whole of the Borough, if not a wider area, and of which the village of Bryncoch forms a small part. We therefore consider that the Community ward of Bryncoch South, which contains the areas at present being developed should each be separately represented on the Borough Council, a view supported by the Bryncoch Branch of Plaid Cymru, and we propose accordingly.

32. We considered the Borough Council's proposals that the four southern community wards, East Central, Central, West and West Central, of the Community of Coedffranc, should together form one district ward in order to strengthen local ties. We remain, however, of the opinion that the district wards should be smaller so that each area will be separately represented on the Borough Council. We, therefore, confirm our Draft Proposals that the East Central and Central community wards should form one district ward represented by two councillors, and the West and West Central community wards should form a separate district ward represented by one councillor.

33. Rhoesom ystyriaeth hefyd i gynnig y Cyngor Bwrdeistref a'r aelodau ar Gyngor Cymdeithas Castell-nedd a ffafriai gadw ffordd Cwm Afan/Cimla yn ffin ward, lle y byddai Wardiau Cymdeithas Cefnsaeson a Gnoll yn ffurfio ward ddosbarth ddau-aelod a Wardiau Cymdeithas Crynallt a Mount Pleasant yn ffurfio ward dri-aelod. Credwn fod y ffin ward sy'n dilyn y ffordd hon yn artiffisial a bod cysylltiadau agosach ar draws y ffordd nag yn gyfochrog â hi. Cefnogir y farn hon gan Gangen Ward y Gogledd o'r Blaid Lafur, Urdd Trethdalwyr Castell-nedd, y Cynghorydd Warman, aelod dros Ward y Gogledd, a Chymdeithas Gymunedol Cimla. Cadarnhawn, felly, ein Cynigion Drafft y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas Cefnsaeson a Chrynallt ffurfio ward ddosbarth ddau-aelod, ac y dylai Wardiau Cymdeithas Gnoll a Mount Pleasant ffurfio ward ddosbarth dri-aelod.

34. Yr oedd Cyngor Cymdeithas Resolfen, Mr Donald R Coleman AS a Phlaid Lafur Ward Resolfen yn gwrthwynebu'r cynigion ynglŷn â Chymdeithasau Resolfen a'r Clun lle y ceid dwy ward ddosbarth ar wahân wedi'u cynrychioli gan un cynghorydd yr un. Awgryment y dylai Cymdeithasau Resolfen a'r Clun ffurfio un ward ddosbarth wedi'i chynrychioli gan ddau gynghorydd ac i'w galw Ward Ddosbarth Resolfen. Derbyniwn y sicrhâi'r cynnig hwn y byddai'r cymdeithasau'n aros yn ward ddosbarth glôs a chynigiwn yn unol â hynny.

35. Mae cyd-enwau ar gyfer deg ward ddosbarth, yn unol ag awgrym y Cyngor Bwrdeistref, yn drwsogl ac, felly, nid ydym wedi'u cynnwys yn ein cynigion. Derbyniwn awgrym Urdd Trethdalwyr Castell-nedd y dylai Wardiau Llanilltud Fach, Cefnsaeson, Mount Pleasant a Phenrhiwtyn fel y'u cynigiwyd gennym gael eu galw Gogledd Castell-nedd, Cimla, De Castell-nedd a Dwyrain Castell-nedd.

#### Cynigion

36. Cynigiwn y dylai'r cyngor newydd fod â 34 aelod a 22 ward fel a nodir yn Atodiad 1. Dangosir ffiniau'r wardiau gan linellau gwyrdd di-dor ar y map a roddwyd gyda'r Adroddiad hwn yn Swyddfeydd Cyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd, Cyngor Sir Gorllewin Morgannwg ac yn Swyddfeydd y Comisiwn yng Nghaerdydd. Ceir cefndir ystadegol mwy manwl i'r cynllun arfaethedig yn Atodiad 2 ac, er mwyn eu cymharu, rhoir trefniadau etholiadol presennol y Fwrdeistref yn Atodiad 3.

33. We also considered the proposal put forward by the Borough Council and members of Neath Community Council who favour the retention of the Afan Valley/Cimla Road as a ward boundary with the Cefn Saeson and Gnoll community wards forming a two-member district ward and the Crynallt and Mount Pleasant community wards forming a three-member ward. We believe that the ward boundary which follows this road is an artificial one and that there are closer links across this road than there are parallel to it. This view is supported by the North Ward Branch Labour Party, the Neath Ratepayers' Guild, Councillor Warman, a North Ward member, and the Cimla Community Association. We, therefore, confirm our Draft Proposals that the Cefn Saeson and Crynallt community wards should form a two-member district ward and that the Gnoll and Mount Pleasant community wards should form a three-member district ward.

34. Resolven Community Council, Mr Donald R Coleman MP and the Resolven Ward Labour Party opposed the proposals relating to the Resolven and Clyne Communities whereby there were two separate district wards each represented by one councillor. They suggested that the Communities of Resolven and Clyne should form a single district ward represented by two councillors to be known as the Resolven district ward. We accept that this proposal would ensure that the communities would remain as a "closely-knit" district ward and we propose accordingly.

35. Joint names for ten district wards, as suggested by the Borough Council are cumbersome and we have therefore not included them in our proposals. We accept the suggestion put forward by the Neath Ratepayers' Guild that our proposed Llantwit, Cefn Saeson, Mount Pleasant and Penrhiwtyn Wards should be known as Neath North, Cimla, Neath South and Neath East respectively.

#### Proposals

36. We propose that the new council should have 34 members and 22 wards as set out in Appendix 1. The ward boundaries are shown by continuous green lines on the map placed on deposit with this Report at the Offices of Neath Borough Council, West Glamorgan County Council and the Offices of the Commission in Cardiff. A more detailed statistical background to the proposed scheme is given in Appendix 2 and for the purposes of comparison the present electoral arrangements for the Borough are given in Appendix 3.

37. Hoffem ddiolch i Gyngor Bwrdeistref Nedd am eu cymorth yn ystod yr arolwg ac i'r holl gyrff a phersonau eraill a gyflwynodd sylwadau i ni.

LLOFNODWYD

LS

ELISABETH CRAWSHAY (Cadeirydd)

IDRIS DAVEY (Dirprwy Gadeirydd)

D W C MORGAN

R T D WILLIAMS

D T M DAVIES (Ysgrifennydd)

Chwefror 1982

37. We wish to express our gratitude to Neath Borough Council for their assistance during the course of the Review and to all other organisations and persons who submitted representations to us.

SIGNED

LS

ELISABETH CRAWSHAY (Chairman)

IDRIS DAVEY (Deputy Chairman)

D W C MORGAN

R T D WILLIAMS

D T M DAVIES (Secretary)

February 1982

## ATODIAD 1

## BWRDEISTREF NEDD

## AELODAETH ARFAETHEDIG Y CYNGOR BWRDEISTREF

RHIF Y WARD	WARD	DISGRIFIAD	NIFER Y CYNGHORWYR	ID NO
1	Y CREUNANT	Cymdeithas Y Creunant	1	1
2	DULAIS	Cymdeithas Dulais	1	2
3	ONLLWYN	Cymdeithas Onllwyn	1	3
4	GLYN-NEDD	Wardiau'r Dwyrain, y Canol a'r Gorllewin yng Nghymdeithas Glyn-nedd	2	4
5	BLAEN-GWRACH	Cymdeithas Blaen-gwrach a Ward y Canol Gorllewinol yng Nghymdeithas Glyn-nedd	1	5
6	RESOLFEN	Cymdeithasau Resolfen a'r Clun	2	6
7	PELENNNA	Cymdeithas Pelenna	1	7
8	TONNA	Cymdeithas Tonna	1	8
9	DE BRYN-COCH	Ward De Bryn-coch yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	2	9
10	GOGLEDD BRYN-COCH	Ward Gogledd Bryn-coch yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	1	10
11	ABERDULAIS	Wardiau Aberdulais a Chil-ffriw yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	1	11
12	LLANGATWG	Ward Llangatwg yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	1	12
13	DYFFRYN	Cymdeithas Dyffryn Clydach	1	13
14	GOGLEDD COED-FFRANC	Ward y Gogledd yng Nghymdeithas Coed-ffranc	1	14
15	COED-FFRANC GANOL	Wardiau'r Canol Dwyreiniol a'r Canol yng Nghymdeithas Coed-ffranc	2	15
16	GORLLEWIN COED-FFRANC	Wardiau'r Gorllewin a'r Canol Gorllewinol yng Nghymdeithas Coed-ffranc	1	16
17	GOGLEDD CASTELL-NEDD	Wardiau'r Castell a Llanilltud Fach yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	2	17
18	CIMLA	Wardiau Cefn Saeson a Chrynallt yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	2	18
19	DE CASTELL-NEDD	Wardiau Gnoll a Mount Pleasant yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	3	19
20	DWYRAIN CASTELL-NEDD	Wardiau Melincryddan a Phenrhiwtyn yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	3	20
21	DWYRAIN LLANSAWEL	Wardiau Craigydarren a Chwrt-sart yng Nghymdeithas Llansawel	2	21
22	GORLLEWIN LLANSAWL	Wardiau Brynhyfryd a Shelone Wood yng Nghymdeithas Llansawel	2	22
		CYFANSWM	34	

## BOROUGH OF NEATH

## PROPOSED BOROUGH COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

WARD	DESCRIPTION	NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS
CRYNANT	The Community of Crynant	1
DYLAIS	The Community of Dylais	1
ONLLWYN	The Community of Onllwyn	1
GLYNNEATH	The East, Central and West Wards of the Community of Glynneath	2
BLAENGWRACH	The Community of Blaengwrach and the West Central Ward of the Community of Glynneath	1
RESOLVEN	The Communities of Resolven and Clyne	2
PELENNNA	The Community of Pelenna	1
TONNA	The Community of Tonna	1
BRYNCOCH SOUTH	The Bryncoch South Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan	2
BRYNCOCH NORTH	The Bryncoch North Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan	1
ABERDULAIS	The Aberdulais and Gilfrew Wards of the Community of Blaenhonddan	1
CADOXTON	The Cadoxton Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan	1
DYFFRYN	The Community of Dyffryn Clydach	1
COEDFFRANC NORTH	The North Ward of the Community of Coedffranc	1
COEDFFRANC CENTRAL	The East Central and Central Wards of the Community of Coedffranc	2
COEDFFRANC WEST	The West and West Central Wards of the Community of Coedffranc	1
NEATH NORTH	The Castle and Llantwit Wards of the Community of Neath	2
CIMLA	The Cefn Saeson and Crynallt Wards of the Community of Neath	2
NEATH SOUTH	The Gnoll and Mount Pleasant Wards of the Community of Neath	3
NEATH EAST	The Melyncrythan and Penrhiwtyn Wards of the Community of Neath	3
BRITON FERRY EAST	The Craig-y-darren and Cwrt Sart Wards of the Community of Briton Ferry	2
BRITON FERRY WEST	The Brynhyfryd and Shelone Wood Wards of the Community of Briton Ferry	2
	TOTAL	34



**BWRDEISTREF NEDD**  
ARFAETHEDIG Y CYNGOR BWRDEISTREF

ATODIAD 2

WARD		NIFER Y CYNGORWYR	1981			1986		
NO	DISGRIFIAD		ETHOLWYR 5	ETHOLWYR: CYNHGCRYDD 6	CYNYCHIOLWYR Y MAE HAWL IDDYNT 7	AMCANGYFLEF O'R ETHOLWYR 8	ETHOLWYR: CYNGHORIYDD 9	CYNYCHIOLWYR Y MAE HAWL IDDYNT 10
1	Y CREUNANT	1	1586	1586	1.04	1650	1650	1.05
2	DULAIS	1	1989	1989	1.30	1900	1900	1.21
3	ONLLWYN	1	1064	1064	0.70	1000	1000	0.63
4	GLYN-NEDD	2	2956	1478	1.93	3000	1500	1.90
5	BLAEN-GWRACH	1	1852	1852	1.21	1850	1850	1.17
6	RESOLFEN	2	2786	1393	1.82	2830	1415	1.80
7	PELENNNA	1	1102	1102	0.72	1150	1150	0.73
8	TONNA	1	1681	1681	1.10	1700	1700	1.08
9	DE BRYN-COCH	2	2821	1411	1.84	3350	1675	2.13
10	GOGLEDD	1	2036	2036	1.33	2100	2100	1.33
11	ABERDULAIS	1	1493	1493	0.98	1550	1550	0.98

APPENDIX 2

**BOROUGH OF NEATH**  
PROPOSED BOROUGH COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

WARD		NO OF COUNCILLORS	1981		1986			
NO	DESCRIPTION		ELECTORATE 5	ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR 6	ESTIMATED ELECTORATE 8	ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR 9	COUNCILLOR ENTITLEMENT 10	
1	CRYNANT	1	1586	1586	1.04	1650	1650	1.05
2	DYLAI	1	1989	1989	1.30	1900	1900	1.21
3	ONLLWYN	1	1064	1064	0.70	1000	1000	0.63
4	GLYNNEATH	2	2956	1478	1.93	3000	1500	1.90
5	BLAENGRACH	1	1852	1852	1.21	1850	1850	1.17
6	RESOLFEN	2	2786	1393	1.82	2830	1415	1.80
7	PELENNNA	1	1102	1102	0.72	1150	1150	0.73
8	TONNA	1	1681	1681	1.10	1700	1700	1.08
9	BRYNCOCH SOUTH	2	2821	1411	1.84	3350	1675	2.13
10	BRYNCOCH NORTH	1	2036	2036	1.33	2100	2100	1.33
11	ABERDULAIS	1	1493	1493	0.98	1550	1550	0.98

BWRDEISTREF NEDD

ATODIAD 2

AELODAETH ARFAETHEDIG Y CYNGOR BWRDEISTREF

WARD		NIFER Y CYNGHORWYR	1981		1986		
RHIF	ENW		ETHOLWYR 5	ETHOLWYR: CYNGHORYDD 6	AMCANGYFRIF O'R ETHOLWYR 8	ETHOLWYR: CYNGHORYDD 9	CYFRYCHIOLEWYR MAE HAWL IDDYI 10
1	2	3					
12	LLANGATWG	Ward Llangatwg yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	1197	1197	1250	1250	0.79
13	DYFFRYN	Cymdeithas Dyffryn Clydach	2214	2214	2250	2250	1.43
14	GOGLEDD	Ward y Gogledd yng Coed-ffranc	1836	1836	1800	1800	1.14
15	COED-FFRANC GANOL	Wardiau'r Canol Dwyreiniol a'r Canol yng Nghymdeithas Coed-ffranc	3085	1543	2900	1450	1.84
16	GORLLEWIN COED-FFRANC	Wardiau'r Gorllewin a'r Canol Gorllewinol yng Nghymdeithas Coed-ffranc	1602	1602	1885	1885	1.20
17	GOGLEDD CASTELL-NEDD	Wardiau'r Castell a Llanilltud Fach yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	3328	1664	3450	1725	2.19
18	CIMLA	Wardiau Cefnseason a Chrynallt yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	2626	1313	3000	1500	1.90
19	DE CASTELL-NEDD	Wardiau Gnoll a Mount Pleasant yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	4048	1349	4100	1367	2.60

APPENDIX 2

BOROUGH OF NEATH

PROPOSED BOROUGH COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

WARD		NO OF COUNCILLORS	1981		1986		
NO	NAME		ELECTORATE 5	ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR 6	ESTIMATED ELECTORATE 8	ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR 9	COUNCILLOR ENTITLEMENT 10
1	2	3					
12	CADOXTON	The Cadoxton Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan	1197	1197	1250	1250	0.79
13	DYFFRYN	The Community of Dyffryn Clydach	2214	2214	2250	2250	1.43
14	COEDFFRANC NORTH	The North Ward of the Community of Coedffranc	1836	1836	1800	1800	1.14
15	COEDFFRANC CENTRAL	The East Central and Central Wards of the Community of Coedffranc	3085	1543	2900	1450	1.84
16	COEDFFRANC WEST	The West and West Central Wards of the Community of Coedffranc	1602	1602	1885	1885	1.20
17	NEATH NORTH	The Castle and Llantwit Wards of the Community of Neath	3328	1664	3450	1725	2.19
18	CIMLA	The Cefn Season and Crynallt Wards of the Community of Neath	2626	1313	3000	1500	1.90
19	NEATH SOUTH	The Gnoll and Mount Pleasant Wards of the Community of Neath	4048	1349	4100	1367	2.60

BWRDEISTREF NEDD

ATODIAD 2

AELODAETH ARFAETHEDIG Y CYNGOR BURDEISTREF

RHIF	ENW	WARD	NIFER Y CYNGORWR	1981		1986			
				ETHOLWYR 5	ETHOLWYR: CYNHCRYDD 6	ETHOLWYR Y MAE HAWL IDDYNT 7	ANCANGYFRIF O'R ETHOLWYR 8	ETHOLWYR: CYNHORYDD 9	CYRHYCHOLWYR Y MAE HAWL IDDYNT 10
1	2	DISGRIFIAD 3	4						
20	DWYRAIN CASTELL-NEDD	Wardiau Melincryddan a Phenrhiwtyn yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	3	5015	1672	3.28	5050	1683	3.21
21	DWYRAIN LLANSAWEL	Wardiau Craigydarren a Chwrt-sart yng Nghymdeithas Llansawel	2	2582	1291	1.69	2650	1325	1.68
22	GORLLEWIN LLANSAWEL	Wardiau Brynhyfryd a Shelone Wood yng Nghymdeithas Llansawel	2	3122	1561	2.04	3150	1575	2.00
			34	52021	Cyfartaledd 1530		53565	Cyfartaledd 1575	

NODYN: Ceir nifer y cynrychiolwyr y mae hawl iddynt (Colofnau 7 a 10) trwy rannu etholwyr y ward â nifer yr etholwyr (ar gyfartaledd) i bob cynghorydd yn y Fwrdeistref.

BOROUGH OF NEATH

APPENDIX 2

PROPOSED BOROUGH COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

NO	NAME	DESCRIPTION	NO OF COUNCILLORS	1981		1986			
				ELECTORATE 5	ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR 6	ESTIMATED ELECTORATE 8	ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR 9	COUNCILLOR ENTITLEMENT 7	COUNCILLOR ENTITLEMENT 10
20	NEATH EAST	The Melyncrythan and Penrhiwtyn Wards of the Community of Neath	3	5015	1672	3.28	5050	1683	3.21
21	BRITON FERRY EAST	The Craig-y-darren and Cwrt Sart Wards of the Community of Briton Ferry	2	2582	1291	1.69	2650	1325	1.68
22	BRITON FERRY WEST	The Brynhyfryd and Shelone Wood Wards of the Community of Briton Ferry	2	3122	1561	2.04	3150	1575	2.00
			34	52021	Av 1530		53565	Av 1575	

NOTE: The entitlement (Columns 7 and 10) is obtained by dividing the ward electorate by the average number of electors per councillor in the Borough.

## BWRDEISTREF NEDD

## AEIODAETH BRESENNOL Y CYNGOR BWRDEISTREF

RHIF	WARD		NIFER Y CYNGHORWYR	ETHOLWYR 1981	ETHOLWYR: CYNGHORYDD	CYNRYCHIOLOWYR Y MAE HAWL IDDYNT
	DISGRIFIAD	2				
1			3	4	5	6
1	Ward Gogledd Castell-nedd		4	7070	1768	4.50
2	Ward De Castell-nedd		6	8362	1394	5.68
3	Ward Llansawel, Castell-nedd		4	5339	1335	3.40
4	Cymdeithas Baglan Uchaf a Llanfihangel-ynys-Afan Uchaf		1	1080	1080	0.69
5	Cymdeithas Dyffryn Clydach		1	2070	2070	1.32
6	Cymdeithas Coed-ffranc		4	6503	1626	4.14
7	Cymdeithas Castell-nedd Uchaf		2	3740	1870	2.38
8	Cymdeithas Tonna		1	2021	2021	1.29
9	Cymdeithasau Y Clun, Castell-nedd Isaf a Resolfen		2	2802	1401	1.78
10	Cymdeithas Blaen-gwrach		1	1013	1013	0.64
11	Ward Onllwyn yng Nghymdeithas Dulais Uchaf		1	945	945	0.60
12	Ward Blaendulais yng Nghymdeithas Dulais Uchaf		1	1989	1989	1.27
13	Ward Y Creunant yng Nghymdeithas Dulais Uchaf a Ward Y Creunant yng Nghymdeithas Dulais Isaf		1	1589	1589	1.01
14	Ward Cil-ffriw yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan a Ward Aberdulais yng Nghymdeithas Dulais Isaf		1	1488	1488	0.95
15	Ward Llangatwg yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan		1	1197	1197	0.76
16	Ward Bryn-coch yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan		2	4661	2331	2.97
			33	51869	Cyfartaledd 1572	

NODYN: Ceir nifer y cynrychiolwyr y mae hawl iddynt (Colofn 6) trwy rannu etholwyr y ward â nifer yr etholwyr (ar gyfartaledd) i bob cynghorydd yn y Fwrdeistref.

## APPENDIX 3

## BOROUGH OF NEATH

## EXISTING BOROUGH COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

NO	WARD	DESCRIPTION	NO OF COUNCILLORS	ELECTORATE 1981	ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR	COUNCILLOR ENTITLEMENT
1			3	4	5	6
1	The Neath North Ward		4	7070	1768	4.50
2	The Neath South Ward		6	8362	1394	5.68
3	The Neath Briton Ferry Ward		4	5339	1335	3.40
4	The Community of Baglan Higher and Michaelston Higher		1	1080	1080	0.69
5	The Community of Dyffryn Clydach		1	2070	2070	1.32
6	The Community of Coedffranc		4	6503	1626	4.14
7	The Community of Neath Higher		2	3740	1870	2.38
8	The Community of Tonna		1	2021	2021	1.29
9	The Communities of Clyne, Neath Lower and Resolven		2	2802	1401	1.78
10	The Community of Blaengwrach		1	1013	1013	0.64
11	The Onllwyn Ward of the Community of Dulais Higher		1	945	945	0.60
12	The Seven Sisters Ward of the Community of Dulais Higher		1	1989	1989	1.27
13	The Crynant Ward of the Community of Dulais Higher and the Crynant Ward of the Community of Dulais Lower		1	1589	1589	1.01
14	The Cilfrew Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan and the Aberdulais Ward of the Community of Dulais Lower		1	1488	1488	0.95
15	The Cadoxton Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan		1	1197	1197	0.76
16	The Bryncoch Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan		2	4661	2331	2.97
			33	51869	AV	1572

NOTE: The entitlement (Column 6) is obtained by dividing the ward electorate by the average number of electors per councillor in the Borough.

## CYNGOR BWRDEISTREF NEDD

Cynigion y Clerc a gyflwynwyd i Bwyllgor Ffiniau'r Cyngor Bwrdeistref

Rhif	Enw Arfaethedig y Ward	Disgrifiad	Nifer y Cynghorwyr
1	Ward Y Creunant	Cymdeithas Y Creunant	1
2	Ward Dulais	Cymdeithas Dulais	1
3	Ward Onllwyn	Cymdeithas Onllwyn	1
4	Ward Glyn-nedd	Cymdeithas Glyn-nedd heblaw Ward y Canol Gorllewinol	1
5	Ward Blaen-gwrach	Gweddill Cymdeithas Glyn-nedd a Chymdeithas Blaen-gwrach	1
6	Ward Y Clun a Resolfen	Cymdeithas Y Clun a Ward y De yng Nghymdeithas Resolfen	1
7	Ward Resolfen ac Abergarwed	Wardiau Abergarwed a'r Gogledd yng Nghymdeithas Resolfen	1
8	Ward Pelenna	Cymdeithas Pelenna	1
9	Ward Tonna	Cymdeithas Tonna	1
10	Ward Cil-ffriw ac Aberdulais	Wardiau Cil-ffriw ac Aberdulais yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	1
11	Ward Llangatwg	Ward Llangatwg yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	1
12	Ward De Bryn-coch	Ward De Bryn-coch yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	2
13	Ward Gogledd Bryn-coch	Ward Gogledd Bryn-coch yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	1
14	Ward Dyffryn Clydach a Gogledd Sgiwen	Cymdeithas Dyffryn Clydach a Ward y Gogledd yng Nghymdeithas Coed-ffranc	3
15	Ward Coed-ffranc	Gweddill Cymdeithas Coed-ffranc	3
16	Ward y Dref	Wardiau'r Castell a Melincryddan yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	2
17	Ward Llanilltud Fach	Ward Llanilltud Fach yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	2
18	Ward Cimla	Wardiau Cefnsaeson a Chrynallt yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	2

## NEATH BOROUGH COUNCIL

The Clerk's Proposals presented to the Boundaries Committee of the Borough Council

No.	Proposed Ward Name	Description	No of Councillors
1	The Crynant Ward	Community of Crynant	1
2	The Dylais Ward	Community of Dylais	1
3	The Onllwyn Ward	Community of Onllwyn	1
4	The Glynneath Ward	Community of Glynneath less the West Central Ward	1
5	The Blaengwrach Ward	The remainder of the Community of Glynneath and Blaengwrach Community	1
6	The Clyne and Resolven Ward	Community of Clyne and the South Ward of the Community of Resolven	1
7	The Resolven and Abergarwed Ward	The Abergarwed and North Wards of the Community of Resolven	1
8	The Pelenna Ward	Community of Pelenna	1
9	The Tonna Ward	Community of Tonna	1
10	The Cilfrew and Aberdulais Ward	The Cilfrew and Aberdulais Wards of the Community of Blaenhonddan	1
11	The Cadoxton Ward	The Cadoxton Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan	1
12	The Bryncoch South Ward	The Bryncoch South Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan	2
13	The Bryncoch North Ward	The Bryncoch North Ward of the Community of Blaenhonddan	1
14	The Dyffryn Clydach and North Skewen Ward	The Community of Dyffryn Clydach and the North Ward of the Community of Coedffranc	3
15	The Coedffranc Ward	The remainder of the Community of Coedffranc	3
16	The Town Ward	The Castle and Melincrythan Wards of the Community of Neath	2
17	The Llantwit Ward	The Llantwit Ward of the Community of Neath	2
18	The Cimla Ward	The Cefn Saeson and Crynallt Wards of the Community of Neath	2

Rhif	Enw Arfaethedig y Ward	Disgrifiad	Nifer y Cynghorwyr
19	Ward Hillside	Wardiau Mount Pleasant a Gnoll yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd	3
20	Ward Cwrt-sart	Ward Penrhiwtyn yng Nghymdeithas Castell-nedd a Wardiau Cwrt-sart a Chraig-y-Darren yng Nghymdeithas Llansawel	3
21	Ward Shelone Wood	Wardiau Brynhyfryd a Shelone Wood yng Nghymdeithas Llansawel	2
Cyfanswm y Cynghorwyr			35
Gellid defnyddio'r dewisiadau canlynol:-			
2 & 3	Ward Dulais	Cymdeithasau Dulais ac Onllwyn	2
12 & 13	Ward Bryn-coch	Wardiau Gogledd Bryn-coch a De Bryn-coch yng Nghymdeithas Blaenhonddan	3

No	Proposed Ward Name	Description	No of Councillors
19	The Hillside Ward	The Mount Pleasant and Gnoll Wards of the Community of Neath	3
20	The Cwrt Sart Ward	The Penrhiwtyn Ward of the Community of Neath and the Cwrt Sart and Craig-y-Darren Wards of the Community of Briton Ferry	3
21	The Shelone Wood Ward	The Brynhyfryd and Shelone Wood Wards of the Community of Briton Ferry	2
Total No. of Councillors			35
* The following alternatives could be used:-			
2 & 3	The Dylais Ward	The Communities of Dylais and Onllwyn	2
12 & 13	The Bryncoch Ward	The Bryncoch North and Bryncoch South Wards of the Community of Blaenhonddan	3



