

Amendments to permitted development rights

Details

Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

[REDACTED]

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Business

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

Keep my response anonymous

Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

No

Comments:

Too early these were pandemic measures provided without normal process or due diligence

This would appear to be driving a coach and horses through established principles of planning that have evolved through carefully debated consultation. A free for all over coastal and scenic landscapes put them at a great risk. I would the Welsh government to respect these and the possible planning creep that would follow, don't go we are already seeing so-called touring caravans becoming very static on their bases.

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

No

Comments:

Benefits a few but not sufficient to outweigh the challenges that would ensue from unregulated camping

There needs to be a much more thoughtful approach and more information is required

Is there a size limit. 20 tents or 1000 ?

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

Comments as above

Size

Siting landscape destruction even temporary site will quickly re toilet and shower facilities. This means perminate building and sewerage solutions

Ev charging will then follow then the concrete parking bays

Etc

Access

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

Yes

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

Yes

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

No Response

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

Yes

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

Yes

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

No Response

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

None really, I'm bilingual but naturally speak English as a business language

The balance is right. But I am concerned about positive discrimination can compromise choice

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

No Response

Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

[REDACTED]