Powys County Council

Dep	Departure from Standards DP110			
Submission Form				
1.0	Basic Details			
1.0	busic Betuins			
1.1	Developer / Client	Welsh Government		
1.2	Planning Application No.			
1.3	Scheme Name	A483/A489 Newtown Bypass		
1.4	Road / Location / Grid Reference	Newtown link from Kerry Road Roundabout		
1.5	Design Organisation	Atkins (Swansea) as designer to Alun Griffiths Contractors Ltd		
2.0	Overall Justification			
2.1	Design Speed and method of assessment	70A kph TD9/93 – Highway Link Design (Para 1.8)		
2.2	Speed limit	40mph		
2.3	Options / Alternatives Rejected (constraints)	 Options were constrained by the following considerations:- Vertical alignment of the existing road. Buildability and the need to maintain operation of the existing road during construction. The location of the existing high pressure gas main. Diversion of this apparatus would be extremely costly and introduce additional programming constraints. 		
2.4	Relevant Standard	TD 9/93 Highway Link Design		
2.5	Relevant Clause	Clause 1.26 - Relaxations on the Immediate Approach to Junctions		
2.6	Existing Departures at the site	Unknown but likely to be similar in nature to those applied for in this submission.		
2.7	Full Details of Proposed Departure	The proposed Vertical Alignment at the Newtown link from Kerry Road Roundabout does not comply with TD9/93 Clause 1.26. TD9/93 Clause 1.26 states that 'relaxations below Desirable Minimum in stopping sight distance and vertical curvature for crest curves and Absolute Minimum for sag curves are not permitted on the immediate approaches to junctions. For the purposes of this Standard the immediate approaches to a junction shall be:		

		approach to the roundabe Desirable Minimum Stopp Way line and the Give Wa The Table below outlines	details of the proposed and ure for the departure, along with
		K Value Proposed Crest Curve K = 17 (1 design step - Ch 14 to 51m)	Constraints Existing road alignment, buildability and the existing high pressure gas main.
		Sag Curve K = 13 (1 design step - Ch 0 to 14m) Compliant Crest Curve K = 30	
		Sag Curve K = 20	N/A
2.8	Associated Departures & Relaxations	DP111 – Newtown Link – DP112 – Newtown Link – DP113 – Newtown Link –	•
3.0	Other Information	I	
3.1	Non-motorised users considerations (eg Active Travel (Wales) Act)		on-motorised users will be vision/diversion of any public the proposed scheme.
3.2	Street Lighting provision: Existing and Proposed	There is no existing street lighting at this location. The proposed street lighting scheme at this location will illuminate the circulatory carriageway of the roundabout only. This proposal will be subject to approval of a Departure from Standards	
3.3	Other relevant technical information such as vehicle restraint systems, proximity to junctions etc.	None	

3.4	Supporting Documentation	n associated with the departure.	Supplied
	Documentation to include	:	(Y/N)
	 Location plan typic existing/associated 	ally at 1:10000 showing the scheme extent and	Υ
		ding road markings typically 1:2500 for links and	Υ
	Junction Capacity C	Checks where relevant including design year	Υ
	queue lengths Visibility Graphs fo	r SSD departures.	N
	Swept Path Plots w		N
	 Risk Assessment associated with the proposed departure when compared with a fully compliant design (including a designer's in 		Υ
	assessment)Road Safety Audit		Υ
4.0	Summary		
4.1	Summary of mitigation measures proposed as part of the design	Retroreflective signs would be used on approach proposed roundabout. The proposed roundabout lit and have a 60m ICD with sufficient capacity and significant quadrage.	would be
4.2	solution Overall Justification.	significant queuing. The net benefit is derived from savings in cost, as	well as
4.2	Summarise how the benefits of the proposal outweigh the disbenefits	reduced environmental impact when compared to compliant design.	
	when compared with a fully compliant design.	The provision of an alignment that would achieve standard vertical alignment curves in this location result in the need to increase earthworks and assenvironmental/landscape impacts. This would alse the need to divert the existing high pressure gas rewould introduce significant additional scheme comprogramme constraints.	n would ociated o result in main which
		It is considered that this level of improvement we provide a balance of consistency with the existing standard while offering improvement on the immapproach to the roundabout.	road
5.0	Declarations		
5.1	Name of Designer Proposing Departure Submission.	N.J.R Bebb	
5.2	Title of Designer Proposing Departure Submission.	Divisional Director	

5.3	Declaration of Designer Proposing Departure Submission.	I confirm that in completing the attached departure submission I have used reasonable professional skill and care. I hereby recommend approval of the attached departure from standard submission Signed:
5.4	Declaration of Welsh Government - Overseeing Organisation	Date: 27 th March 2015 Additional Comments from Welsh Government: Name: Signed: Date:
5.5	Powys County Council Comments	Additional Comments from Powys County Council: Name: Date:
5.6	Declaration of Powys County Council - Departure Owner.	I hereby recommend approval of the attached departure from standard submission Name: Position/Responsibility: Signed: Date:
6.0	Departure Endorsement	
6.1	Endorsement of Head of Service	Comments: Name: Signed: Date:

7.0	Technical Review Panel Recommendations	
7.1	Recommendations of Infrastructure Delivery Project Director	Recommendations:
		Name:
		Signed:
		Date:
7.2	Recommendations of Network Management Delivery Team Leader	Recommendations:
		Name:
		Signed:
		Date:
7.3	Recommendations of Standards, DC & Streetworks Team	Recommendations:
	Leader (Chair)	Name:
		Signed:
		Date:
7.4	Endorsement of Deputy Director (Infrastructure Delivery) or Head of Asset Management &	Comments:
	Standards (Network Management)	Name:
	anagement/	Signed:
		Date: